Properties of families of spinors in $d=(5+1)$ with zweibein of an almost S^2 and two kinds of spin connection fields, allowing massless and massive solutions in $d=(3+1)$

D. Lukman and N.S. Mankoč Borštnik

Department of Physics, FMF, University of Ljubljana,

Jadranska 19, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

arXiv:1412.5826v1 [hep-th] 18 Dec 2014

We studied in the refs. [1, 2] properties of spinors in a toy model in $d=(5+1)$, when $\mathcal{M}^{(5+1)}$ breaks to an infinite disc with a zweibein which makes a disc curved on an almost S^2 and with a spin connection field which allows on such a sphere only one massless spinor state, as a step towards realistic Kaluza-Klein theories in non compact spaces. In the ref.[3] we allow on S^2 two kinds of the spin connection fields, those which are gauge fields of spins in and those which are the gauge fields of the family quantum numbers, both as required for this toy model by the *spin-charge-family* theory [4, 5]. This time we study, by taking into account families of spinors interacting with several spin connection fields, properties of massless and massive solutions of equations of motion, with the discrete symmetries [9, 10] ($\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}$, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$) included. We also allow nonzero vacuum expectation values of the spin connection fields and study the masses.

I. INTRODUCTION

The *spin-charge-family* theory [4, 5], proposed by one of us (N.S.M.B.), is offering the explanation for the appearance of families of fermions in any dimension. Starting in $d=(13+1)$ with a simple action for massless fermions interacting with the gravitational interaction only - that is with the vielbeins and the two kinds of the spin connection fields, the ones originating in the Dirac kind of spin (γ^a's) and the others originating in the second kind of the Clifford operators ($\tilde{\gamma}^a$'s) - the theory manifests effectively at low energies the observed properties of fermions and bosons, offering the explanation for all the assumptions of the *standard model*: For the appearance of families, for the appearance of the Higgs's scalar [6] with the weak and the hyper charges ($\mp\frac{1}{2}$, $\pm\frac{1}{2}$, respectively), for the Yukawa couplings, for the charges of the family members, for the vector gauge fields, for the dark matter content, for the matter-antimatter asymmetry [7].

The theory predicts the fourth family, which will soon be observed at the LHC, and several scalar fields, manifesting in the observed Higgs's scalar [8] and the Yukawa couplings, some superposition of which will also be observed at the LHC.

A simple toy model [1–3], which includes also families in the way proposed by the *spin-charge-family* theory [4, 5]), is expected to help to better understand mechanisms causing the breaks of symmetries needed in the case of $d = (13 + 1)$, where a simple starting action leads in the low energy regime after the breaks to the observable phenomena.

This contribution is a small further step in understanding properties of the families after the breaks of symmetries, caused by the scalar fields which are the gauge fields of the charges of spinors and the scalar fields which are the gauge fields of the family groups. The discrete symmetries of fermions and bosons in the case of only one family are studied already in the ref. [10]. Here the discrete symmetries are studied when the families are taken into account. We allow also that the spin connection fields gain nonzero vacuum expectation values and study solutions of the equations of motion for massive spinors.

We start with massless spinors [1–3, 10] in a flat manifold $\mathcal{M}^{(5+1)}$, which breaks into $\mathcal{M}^{(3+1)}$ times an infinite disc. The vielbein on the disc curves the disc into (almost) a sphere S^2

$$e^s{}_\sigma = f^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, f^\sigma{}_s = f \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} f &= 1 + (\frac{\rho}{2\rho_0})^2 = \frac{2}{1+\cos\vartheta}, \\ x^{(5)} &= \rho\,\cos\phi, \quad x^{(6)} = \rho\,\sin\phi, \quad E = f^{-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The angle ϑ is the ordinary azimuthal angle on a sphere. The last relation follows from $ds^2 = e_{s\sigma}e^s{}_\tau dx^\sigma dx^\tau = f^{-2}(d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\phi^2)$. We use indices $(s,t) \in (5,6)$ to describe the flat index in the space of an infinite plane, and $(\sigma,\tau) \in ((5),(6))$, to describe the Einstein index. Rotations around the axis through the two poles of a sphere are described by the angle ϕ, while $\rho = 2\rho_0\sqrt{\frac{1-\cos\vartheta}{1+\cos\vartheta}}$. The volume of this non compact sphere is finite, equal to $V = \pi\,(2\rho_0)^2$. The symmetry of S^2 is a symmetry of $U(1)$ group.

We take into account that there are two kinds of the Clifford algebra operators: Beside the Dirac γ^a also $\tilde{\gamma}^a$, introduced in [4, 5, 12]. Correspondingly the covariant momentum of a spinor on an almost S^2 sphere is

$$\begin{aligned} p_{0a} &= f^\alpha{}_a\, p_\alpha + \frac{1}{2E}\,\{p_\alpha, f^\alpha{}_a E\}_- - \frac{1}{2}S^{cd}\omega_{cda} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{S}^{cd}\tilde{\omega}_{cda}\,, \\ S^{ab} &= \frac{i}{4}(\gamma^a\gamma^b - \gamma^b\gamma^a)\,, \qquad \tilde{S}^{ab} = \frac{i}{4}(\tilde{\gamma}^a\tilde{\gamma}^b - \tilde{\gamma}^b\tilde{\gamma}^a)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

with $E = \det(e^a{}_\alpha)$ and with vielbeins $f^\alpha{}_a$ [16], the gauge fields of the infinitesimal generators of

translation, and with the two kinds of the spin connection fields: **i.** $\omega_{ab\alpha}$, the gauge fields of S^{ab} and **ii.** $\tilde{\omega}_{ab\alpha}$, the gauge fields of $\tilde{S}^{ab}$.

We make a choice of the spin connection fields of the two kinds on the infinite disc as follows (assuming that there must be some fermion sources causing these spin connections, the study of such sources of the scalar fields $\omega_{st\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{ab\sigma}$ are in progress)

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\sigma}{}_{s'}\,\omega_{st\sigma} &= iF_{56}\, f\,\varepsilon_{st}\,\frac{e_{s'\sigma}x^{\sigma}}{(\rho_0)^2} = -\frac{1}{2E}\{p_\sigma, Ef^{\sigma}{}_{s'}\}_-\,\varepsilon_{st}\,4F_{56}\,,\\
f^{\sigma}{}_{s'}\,\tilde{\omega}_{st\sigma} &= i\tilde{F}_{56}\, f\,\varepsilon_{st}\,\frac{e_{s'\sigma}x^{\sigma}}{(\rho_0)^2} = -\frac{1}{2E}\{p_\sigma, Ef^{\sigma}{}_{s'}\}_-\,\varepsilon_{st}\,4\tilde{F}_{56}\,,\\
f^{\sigma}{}_{s}\,\tilde{\omega}_{mn\sigma} &= -\frac{1}{2E}\{p_\sigma\,, Ef^{\sigma}{}_{s}\}_-\,4\tilde{F}_{mn}\,, \tilde{F}_{mn} = -\tilde{F}_{nm}\,,\\
&\qquad s=5,6,\quad \sigma=(5),(6)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4}
$$

We take the starting action in agreement with the *spin-charge-family* theory for this toy model in $d=(5+1)$, that is the action for a massless spinor ($\mathcal{S}_f$) with the covariant momentum p_{0a} from Eq. (3) interacting with gravity only and for the vielbein and the two kinds of the spin connection fields ($\mathcal{S}_b$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} &= \mathcal{S}_b + \mathcal{S}_f\,, \qquad \mathcal{S}_f = \int d^dx\, E\,\mathcal{L}_f\\
\mathcal{S}_b &= \int d^dx\, E\,(\alpha\,\mathcal{R} + \tilde{\alpha}\,\tilde{\mathcal{R}})\,, \qquad \mathcal{L}_f = \psi^\dagger\,\gamma^0\gamma^a\,p_{0a}\,\psi\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5}
$$

The two Riemann scalars, $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}_{abcd}\,\eta^{ac}\eta^{bd}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}=\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{abcd}\,\eta^{ac}\eta^{bd}$, are determined by the Riemann tensors

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{abcd} &= \frac{1}{2}\,f^{\alpha}{}_{[a}f^{\beta}{}_{b]}\,(\omega_{cd\beta,\alpha} - \omega_{ce\alpha}\omega^{e}{}_{d\beta})\,,\\
\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{abcd} &= \frac{1}{2}\,f^{\alpha}{}_{[a}f^{\beta}{}_{b]}\,(\tilde{\omega}_{cd\beta,\alpha} - \tilde{\omega}_{ce\alpha}\tilde{\omega}^{e}{}_{d\beta})\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{6}
$$

where $[a\ b]$ means that the anti-symmetrization must be performed over the two indices a and b.

We assume no gravity in $d=(3+1)$: $f^{\mu}{}_{m}=\delta^{\mu}_{m}$ and $\omega_{mn\mu}=0$ for $m,n=(0,1,2,3)$, $\mu=(0,1,2,3)$. Accordingly $(a,b,\dots)$ run in Eq. (5) only over $s\in(5,6)$. Taking into account the subgroup structure of the operators $\tilde{S}^{mn}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{\tilde{N}}_{(L,R)} = \vec{\tilde{N}}^{\oplus} &= \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{S}^{23}\pm i\tilde{S}^{01}, \tilde{S}^{31}\pm i\tilde{S}^{02}, \tilde{S}^{12}\pm i\tilde{S}^{03})\,,\\
\tilde{N}_L{}^{\boxplus} = \tilde{N}^{\oplus\boxplus} &= \tilde{N}^{\oplus 1} \pm i\,\tilde{N}^{\oplus 2}\,, \quad \tilde{N}_R{}^{\boxplus} = \tilde{N}^{\ominus\boxplus} = \tilde{N}^{\ominus 1} \pm i\,\tilde{N}^{\ominus 2}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{7}
$$

we can rewrite the $\frac{1}{2}\tilde{S}^{cd}\tilde{\omega}_{cda}$ part of the covariant momentum (Eq. 3) as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2}\, f\, \tilde{S}^{mn}\tilde{\omega}_{mn\pm} &= \sum_i \tilde{N}^{\oplus i}\tilde{A}^{\oplus i}_{\pm} + \sum_i \tilde{N}^{\ominus i}\tilde{A}^{\ominus i}_{\pm} \\
&= \tilde{N}^{\oplus \boxplus}\tilde{A}^{\oplus \boxplus}_{\pm} + \tilde{N}^{\oplus \boxminus}\tilde{A}^{\oplus \boxminus}_{\pm} + \tilde{N}^{\oplus 3}\tilde{A}^{\oplus 3}_{\pm} + \tilde{N}^{\ominus \boxplus}\tilde{A}^{\ominus \boxplus}_{\pm} + \tilde{N}^{\ominus \boxminus}\tilde{A}^{\ominus \boxminus}_{\pm} + \tilde{N}^{\ominus 3}\tilde{A}^{\ominus 3}_{\pm}\,, \\
\tilde{\omega}_{mn\pm} &= \tilde{\omega}_{mn5} \mp i\tilde{\omega}_{mn6}\,. \qquad (8)
\end{aligned}
$$

The notation was used

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{A}^{\oplus i}_s = f^{\sigma}{}_s\, \tilde{A}^{\oplus i}_{\sigma} &= -f^{\sigma}{}_s\{(\tilde{\omega}_{23\sigma} \mp i\tilde{\omega}_{01\sigma}), (\tilde{\omega}_{31\sigma} \mp i\tilde{\omega}_{02\sigma}), (\tilde{\omega}_{12\sigma} \mp i\tilde{\omega}_{03\sigma})\} \\
&= \delta^{\sigma}_s\, \frac{1}{2E}\{p_\sigma, Ef\}_-\, 4\left(\tilde{F}^{\oplus 1}, \tilde{F}^{\oplus 2}, \tilde{F}^{\oplus 3}\right)\,, \\
\tilde{A}^{\oplus \boxplus}_s &= \frac{1}{2}\,(\tilde{A}^{\oplus 1}_s \mp i\,\tilde{A}^{\oplus 2}_s)\,, \quad \tilde{A}^{\ominus \boxplus}_s = \frac{1}{2}\,(\tilde{A}^{\ominus 1}_s \mp i\,\tilde{A}^{\ominus 2}_s)\,, \\
\tilde{F}^{\oplus \boxplus} &= (\tilde{F}^{23} \mp \tilde{F}^{02}) - i(\pm\tilde{F}^{31} + \tilde{F}^{01})\,, \quad \tilde{F}^{\oplus 3} = (\tilde{F}^{12} - i\tilde{F}^{03})\,, \\
\tilde{F}^{\ominus \boxplus} &= (\tilde{F}^{23} \pm \tilde{F}^{02}) + i(\mp\tilde{F}^{31} + \tilde{F}^{01})\,, \quad \tilde{F}^{\ominus 3} = (\tilde{F}^{12} + i\tilde{F}^{03})\,, \\
\sigma &= ((5),(6))\,, \quad s = (5,6)\,, \qquad (9)
\end{aligned}
$$

with ω_{abc} and $\tilde{\omega}_{abc}$ defined in Eq. (4).

We looked in the ref. [3] for the chiral fermions on this sphere, that is for the fermions of only one handedness in $d = (3+1)$ and accordingly mass protected, without including any extra fundamental gauge fields to the action from Eq.(5).

In this contribution we study the influence of several spin connection fields on the properties of families, looking for the intervals within which the parameters of both kinds of the spin connection fields (F_{56}, $\tilde{F}_{56}$, $\tilde{F}^{\oplus \boxplus}$, $\tilde{F}^{\oplus 3}$, $\tilde{F}^{\ominus \boxplus}$, $\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3}$) allow massless solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{\gamma^0\gamma^m p_m + f\gamma^0\gamma^s\delta^{\sigma}_s(p_{0\sigma} + \frac{1}{2Ef}\{p_\sigma, Ef\}_-)\}\psi = 0, \quad \text{with} \\
&p_{0\sigma} = p_\sigma - \frac{1}{2}S^{st}\omega_{st\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{S}^{ab}\tilde{\omega}_{ab\sigma}\,, \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}
$$

for several families of spinors.

We also allow nonzero vacuum expectation values of the scalar (with respect to $d = (3+1)$) gauge fields and study properties of spinors.

The discrete symmetries of the equations of motion and of solutions are studied in sections (III A, III).

We look for the properties of spinors and gauge fields, scalars and vectors with respect to $d = (3+1)$.

In section II we present spinor states in "our technique" (see appendix in the ref. [7]). In section II A we discuss massless and massive states of families of spinors. In section III A we

present discrete symmetry operators introduced in the refs. [9, 10], in section III we discuss the properties of spinors and the gauge fields, the zweibein and the two kinds of the spin connection fields, under the discrete symmetry operators.

II. SOLUTIONS OF EQUATIONS OF MOTION FOR FAMILIES OF SPINORS

We first briefly explain, following the refs. [1–3, 5], the appearance of families in our toy model, using what is called the technique [12].

There are $2^{d/2-1} = 4$ families in our toy model, each family with $2^{d/2-1} = 4$ members. In the technique [12] the states are defined as a product of nilpotents and projectors

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{ab}{(\pm i)}: &= \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^a \mp \gamma^b),\ \overset{ab}{[\pm i]}:= \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma^a\gamma^b), \quad \text{for } \eta^{aa}\eta^{bb} = -1,\\
\overset{ab}{(\pm)}: &= \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^a \pm i\gamma^b),\ \overset{ab}{[\pm]}:= \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm i\gamma^a\gamma^b), \quad \text{for } \eta^{aa}\eta^{bb} = 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

which are the eigen vectors of S^{ab} as well as of $\tilde{S}^{ab}$ as follows

$$S^{ab}\ \overset{ab}{(k)} = \frac{k}{2}\ \overset{ab}{(k)}, \quad S^{ab}\ \overset{ab}{[k]} = \frac{k}{2}\ \overset{ab}{[k]}, \quad \tilde{S}^{ab}\ \overset{ab}{(k)} = \frac{k}{2}\ \overset{ab}{(k)}, \quad \tilde{S}^{ab}\ \overset{ab}{[k]} = -\frac{k}{2}\ \overset{ab}{[k]}\,, \tag{12}$$

with the properties that γ^a transform $\overset{ab}{(k)}$ into $\overset{ab}{[-k]}$, while $\tilde{\gamma}^a$ transform $\overset{ab}{(k)}$ into $\overset{ab}{[k]}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma^a\ \overset{ab}{(k)} = \eta^{aa}\ \overset{ab}{[-k]},\ \gamma^b\ \overset{ab}{(k)} = -ik\ \overset{ab}{[-k]},\ \gamma^a\ \overset{ab}{[k]} = (\overset{ab}{-k}),\ \gamma^b\ \overset{ab}{[k]} = -ik\eta^{aa}\ \overset{ab}{(-k)}\,,\\
&\tilde{\gamma^a}\ \overset{ab}{(k)} = -i\eta^{aa}\ \overset{ab}{[k]},\ \tilde{\gamma^b}\ \overset{ab}{(k)} = -k\ \overset{ab}{[k]},\ \tilde{\gamma^a}\ \overset{ab}{[k]} = \ i\ \overset{ab}{(k)},\ \tilde{\gamma^b}\ \overset{ab}{[k]} = -k\eta^{aa}\ \overset{ab}{(k)}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

After making a choice of the Cartan subalgebra, for which we take: (S^{03}, S^{12}, S^{56}) and $(\tilde{S}^{03}, \tilde{S}^{12}, \tilde{S}^{56})$, the four spinor families, each with four vectors, which are eigen vectors of the

chosen Cartan subalgebra with the eigen values from Eq. (12) [3], follow

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_1^{1I} &= \overset{56}{(+)}\overset{03}{(+i)}\overset{12}{(+)}\,\psi_0, & \varphi_1^{1II} &= \overset{56}{(+)}\overset{03}{[+i]}\overset{12}{[+]}\,\psi_0,\\
\varphi_2^{1I} &= \overset{56}{(+)}\overset{03}{[-i]}\overset{12}{[-]}\,\psi_0, & \varphi_2^{1II} &= \overset{56}{(+)}\overset{03}{(-i)}\overset{12}{(-)}\,\psi_0,\\
\varphi_1^{2I} &= \overset{56}{[-]}\overset{03}{[-i]}\overset{12}{(+)}\,\psi_0, & \varphi_1^{2II} &= \overset{56}{[-]}\overset{03}{(-i)}\overset{12}{[+]}\,\psi_0,\\
\varphi_2^{2I} &= \overset{56}{[-]}\overset{03}{(+i)}\overset{12}{[-]}\,\psi_0, & \varphi_2^{2II} &= \overset{56}{[-]}\overset{03}{[+i]}\overset{12}{(-)}\,\psi_0,\\
\\
\varphi_1^{1III} &= \overset{56}{[+]}\overset{03}{[+i]}\overset{12}{(+)}\,\psi_0, & \varphi_1^{1IV} &= \overset{56}{[+]}\overset{03}{(+i)}\overset{12}{[+]}\,\psi_0,\\
\varphi_2^{1III} &= \overset{56}{[+]}\overset{03}{(-i)}\overset{12}{[-]}\,\psi_0, & \varphi_2^{1IV} &= \overset{56}{[+]}\overset{03}{[-i]}\overset{12}{(-)}\,\psi_0,\\
\varphi_1^{2III} &= \overset{56}{(-)}\overset{03}{(-i)}\overset{12}{(+)}\,\psi_0, & \varphi_1^{2IV} &= \overset{56}{(-)}\overset{03}{[-i]}\overset{12}{[+]}\,\psi_0,\\
\varphi_2^{2III} &= \overset{56}{(-)}\overset{03}{[+i]}\overset{12}{[-]}\,\psi_0, & \varphi_2^{2IV} &= \overset{56}{(-)}\overset{03}{(+i)}\overset{12}{(-)}\,\psi_0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{14}
$$

where ψ_0 is a vacuum for the spinor state. One can reach from the first member φ_1^{1I} of the first family the same family member of all the other families by the application of $\tilde{S}^{ab}$. One can reach all the family members of each family by applying the generators S^{ab} on one of the family member. If we write the operators of handedness in $d=(5+1)$ as $\Gamma^{(5+1)} = \gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3\gamma^5\gamma^6$ $(= 2^3 i S^{03}S^{12}S^{56})$, in $d=(3+1)$ as $\Gamma^{(3+1)} = -i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ $(= 2^2 i S^{03}S^{12})$ and in the two dimensional space as $\Gamma^{(2)} = i\gamma^5\gamma^6$ $(= 2S^{56})$, we find that all the states of all the families are left handed with respect to $\Gamma^{(5+1)}$, with the eigen value -1, the first two states of the first family, and correspondingly the first two states of any family, are right handed and the second two states are left handed with respect to $\Gamma^{(2)}$, with the eigen values 1 and -1, respectively, while the first two are left handed and the second two right handed with respect to $\Gamma^{(3+1)}$ with the eigen values -1 and 1, respectively.

Having the rotational symmetry around the axis perpendicular to the plane of the fifth and the sixth dimension we require that $\psi^{(6)}$ is the eigen function of the total angular momentum operator $M^{56} = x^5p^6 - x^6p^5 + S^{56} = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} + S^{56}$

$$
M^{56}\psi^{(6)} = (n+\frac{1}{2})\,\psi^{(6)}\,. \tag{15}
$$

Accordingly we write, when taking into account Eq. (14), the most general wave function $\psi^{(6)}$ obeying Eq. (10) in $d=(5+1)$ as

$$
\psi^{(6)} = \mathcal{N}\sum_{i=I,II,III,IV}(\mathcal{A}^i_n\,\overset{56}{(+)}^i\,\psi^{(4i)}_{(+)} + \mathcal{B}^i_{n+1}\,e^{i\phi}\,\overset{56}{[-]}^i\,\psi^{(4i)}_{(-)})\,e^{in\phi}. \tag{16}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}^i_n$ and $\mathcal{B}^i_n$ depend on x^σ, while $\psi^{(4i)}_{(+)}$ and $\psi^{(4i)}_{(-)}$ determine the spin and the coordinate dependent parts of the wave function $\psi^{(6)}$ in $d=(3+1)$ in accordance with the definition in Eq.(14), for example,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{(4I)}_{(+)} &= \alpha^I_+ \overset{03}{(+i)}\overset{12}{(+)} + \beta^I_+ \overset{03}{[-i]}\overset{12}{[-]},\\ \psi^{(4I)}_{(-)} &= \alpha^I_- \overset{03}{[-i]}\overset{12}{(+)} + \beta^I_- \overset{03}{(+i)}\overset{12}{[-]}.\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$\overset{56}{(+)}^i = \overset{56}{(+)}$, for $i=I,II$ and $\overset{56}{(+)}^i = \overset{56}{[+]}$ for $i=III,IV$, while $\overset{56}{[-]}^i = \overset{56}{[-]}$ for $i=I,II$ and $\overset{56}{[-]}^i = \overset{56}{(-)}$ for $i=III,IV$. Using $\psi^{(6)}$ in Eq. (10) and separating dynamics in (1+3) and on S^2, the following relations follow, from which we recognize the mass term m^I: $\frac{\alpha^i_+}{\alpha^i_-}(p^0-p^3)-\frac{\beta^i_+}{\alpha^i_-}(p^1-ip^2)=m^i$, $\frac{\beta^i_+}{\beta^i_-}(p^0+p^3)-\frac{\alpha^i_+}{\beta^i_-}(p^1+ip^2)=m^i$, $\frac{\alpha^i_-}{\alpha^i_+}(p^0+p^3)+\frac{\beta_-}{\alpha_+}(p^1-ip^2)=m^i$, $\frac{\beta^i_-}{\beta^i_+}(p^0-p^3)+\frac{\alpha^i_-}{\beta^i_+}(p^1-ip^2)=m^i$. (One notices that for massless solutions ($m^i=0$) $\psi^{(4i)}_{(+)}$ and $\psi^{(4i)}_{(-)}$, for each $i=I,II,III,IV$, decouple.)

For a spinor with the momentum $p^m=(p^0,0,0,p^3)$ in $d=(3+1)$ the spin and coordinate dependent parts for four families are: $\psi^{(4I)}_{(+)}=\alpha\,\overset{03}{(+i)}\overset{12}{(+)}$, $\psi^{(4II)}_{(+)}=\alpha\,\overset{03}{[+i]}\overset{12}{[+]}$, $\psi^{(4III)}_{(+)}=\alpha\,\overset{03}{[+i]}\overset{12}{(+)}$, $\psi^{(4IV)}_{(+)}=\alpha\,\overset{03}{(+i)}\overset{12}{[+]}$.

Taking the above derivation into account (Eqs. (16, 2, 4, 17, 7, 8, 9)) the equation of motion for spinors follows [3] from the action (5)

$$\begin{aligned} if \quad & \{e^{i\phi 2S^{56}}[(\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}+\frac{i\,2S^{56}}{\rho}(\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}))-\frac{1}{2\,f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}(1-2F_{56}\,2S^{56}-2\tilde{F}_{56}\,2\tilde{S}^{56}\\ & -2\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxplus}\,2\tilde{N}^{\ominus\boxplus}-2\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxminus}\,2\tilde{N}^{\ominus\boxminus}-2\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3}\,2\tilde{N}^{\ominus 3}\\ & -2\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxplus}\,2\tilde{N}^{\oplus\boxplus}-2\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxminus}\,2\tilde{N}^{\oplus\boxminus}-2\tilde{F}^{\oplus 3}\,2\tilde{N}^{\oplus 3})]\}\psi^{(6)}\\ + \quad & \gamma^0\gamma^5\,m\,\psi^{(6)}=0. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

One easily recognizes that, due to the break of $\mathcal{M}^{(5+1)}$ into $\mathcal{M}^{(3+1)}\times$ an infinite disc, which concerns (by our assumption) both, S^{ab} and $\tilde{S}^{ab}$ sector, there are two times two coupled families: The first and the second, and the third and the fourth, while the first and the second remain decoupled from the third and the fourth. We end up with two decoupled groups of equations of motion [3] (which all depend on the parameters F_{56} and $\tilde{F}_{56}$):

i. The equations for the first and the second family

$$\begin{aligned} & -if\{[(\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}-\frac{n}{\rho})-\frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}(1-2F_{56}-2\tilde{F}_{56}-2\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3})]\,\mathcal{A}^I_n\\ - \ & \frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}\,2\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxplus}\,\mathcal{A}^{II}_n\}+m\,\mathcal{B}^I_{n+1}=0\,, \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-if\{[(\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}+\frac{n+1}{\rho})-\frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}(1+2F_{56}-2\tilde{F}_{56}-2\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3})]\,\mathcal{B}^{I}_{n+1}\\
&-\ \frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}\,2\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxplus}\,\mathcal{B}^{II}_{n+1}\}+m\,\mathcal{A}^{I}_{n}=0\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{19}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-if\{[(\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}-\frac{n}{\rho})-\frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}(1-2F_{56}-2\tilde{F}_{56}+2\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3})]\,\mathcal{A}^{II}_{n}\\
&-\ \frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}\,2\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxminus}\,\mathcal{A}^{I}_{n}\}+m\,\mathcal{B}^{II}_{n+1}=0\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-if\{[(\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}+\frac{n+1}{\rho})-\frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}(1+2F_{56}-2\tilde{F}_{56}+2\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3})]\,\mathcal{B}^{II}_{n+1}\\
&-\ \frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}\,2\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxminus}\,\mathcal{B}^{I}_{n+1}\}+m\,\mathcal{A}^{II}_{n}=0\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

ii. The equations for the third and the fourth family

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-if\{[(\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}-\frac{n}{\rho})-\frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}(1-2F_{56}+2\tilde{F}_{56}-2\tilde{F}^{\oplus 3})]\,\mathcal{A}^{III}_{n}\\
&-\ \frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}\,(-2\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxplus})\,\mathcal{A}^{IV}_{n}\}+m\,\mathcal{B}^{III}_{n+1}=0\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-if\{[(\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}+\frac{n+1}{\rho})-\frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}(1+2F_{56}+2\tilde{F}_{56}-2\tilde{F}^{\oplus 3})]\,\mathcal{B}^{III}_{n+1}\\
&-\ \frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}\,(-2\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxplus})\,\mathcal{B}^{IV}_{n+1}\}+m\,\mathcal{A}^{III}_{n}=0\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{20}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-if\{[(\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}-\frac{n}{\rho})-\frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}(1-2F_{56}+2\tilde{F}_{56}+2\tilde{F}^{\oplus 3})]\,\mathcal{A}^{IV}_{n}\\
&-\ \frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}\,(-2\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxminus})\,\mathcal{A}^{III}_{n}\}+m\,\mathcal{B}^{IV}_{n+1}=0\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-if\{[(\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}+\frac{n+1}{\rho})-\frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}(1+2F_{56}+2\tilde{F}_{56}+2\tilde{F}^{\oplus 3})]\,\mathcal{B}^{IV}_{n+1}\\
&-\ \frac{1}{2f}\frac{\partial f}{\partial\rho}\,(-2\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxminus})\,\mathcal{B}^{III}_{n+1}\}+m\,\mathcal{A}^{IV}_{n}=0\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us look for possible normalizable [1, 2] massless solutions for each of the two groups in dependence on the parameters which determine the strength of the spin connection fields. Both groups, although depending on different parameters of the spin connection fields, can be treated in an equivalent way. Let us therefore study massless solutions of the first group of equations of motion.

For $m = 0$ the equations for $\mathcal{A}^{I}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{II}_{n}$ in Eq. (19) decouple from those for $\mathcal{B}^{I}_{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{II}_{n+1}$.

We get for massless solutions

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{I\pm}_{n} &= a_{\pm}\,\rho^{n}\,f^{\frac{1}{2}(1-2F_{56}-2\tilde{F}_{56})}\,f^{\pm\sqrt{(\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3})^2+\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxplus}\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxminus}}}\,,\\
\mathcal{A}^{II\pm}_{n} &= \frac{\pm\sqrt{(\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3})^2+\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxplus}\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxminus}}+\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3}}{\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxplus}}\,\mathcal{A}^{I\pm}_{n}\,,\\
\mathcal{B}^{I\pm}_{n+1} &= b_{\pm}\,\rho^{-n-1}\,f^{\frac{1}{2}(1+2F_{56}-2\tilde{F}_{56})}\,f^{\pm\sqrt{(\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3})^2+\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxplus}\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxminus}}}\,,\\
\mathcal{B}^{II\pm}_{n+1} &= \frac{\pm\sqrt{(\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3})^2+\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxplus}\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxminus}}+\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3}}{\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxplus}}\,\mathcal{B}^{I\pm}_{n+1}\,,
\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

n is a positive integer. The solutions $(\mathcal{A}^{I+}_{n},\,\mathcal{A}^{II+}_{n})$ and $(\mathcal{A}^{I-}_{n},\,\mathcal{A}^{II-}_{n})$ are two independent solutions, a general solution is any superposition of these two. Similarly is true for $(\mathcal{B}^{I\pm}_{n+1},\,\mathcal{B}^{II\pm}_{n+1})$.

In the massless case also $\mathcal{A}^{I,II\pm}_{n}$ decouple from $\mathcal{B}^{I,II\pm}_{n+1}$.

One can easily write down massless solutions of the second group of two families, decoupled from the first one, when knowing massless solutions of the first group of families. It follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{III\pm}_{n} &= a_{\pm}\,\rho^{n}\,f^{\frac{1}{2}(1-2F_{56}+2\tilde{F}_{56})}\,f^{\pm\sqrt{(\tilde{F}^{\oplus 3})^2+\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxplus}\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxminus}}}\,,\\
\mathcal{A}^{IV\pm}_{n} &= \frac{\pm\sqrt{(\tilde{F}^{\oplus 3})^2+\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxplus}\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxminus}}+\tilde{F}^{\oplus 3}}{-\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxplus}}\,\mathcal{A}^{III\pm}_{n}\,,\\
\mathcal{B}^{III\pm}_{n+1} &= b_{\pm}\,\rho^{-n-1}\,f^{\frac{1}{2}(1+2F_{56}+2\tilde{F}_{56})}\,f^{\pm\sqrt{(\tilde{F}^{\oplus 3})^2+\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxplus}\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxminus}}}\,,\\
\mathcal{B}^{IV\pm}_{n+1} &= \frac{\pm\sqrt{(\tilde{F}^{\oplus 3})^2+\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxplus}\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxminus}}+\tilde{F}^{\oplus 3}}{-\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxplus}}\,\mathcal{B}^{III\pm}_{n+1}\,,
\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

n is a positive integer, $a_{\pm}$ and $b_{\pm}$ are normalization factors.

Requiring that only normalizable (square integrable) solutions are acceptable

$$2\pi\int_{0}^{\infty} E\,\rho d\rho\,(\mathcal{A}^{i\star}_{n}\mathcal{A}^{i}_{n}+\mathcal{B}^{i\star}_{n}\mathcal{B}^{i}_{n}) \quad <\infty\,,\tag{23}$$

$i\in\{I,II,III,IV\}$, one finds that $\mathcal{A}^{i}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{i}_{n}$ are normalizable [1, 2] under the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{I,II}_{n} &: \; -1<n<2(F_{56}+\tilde{F}_{56}\pm\sqrt{(\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3})^2+\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxplus}\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxminus}}\,)\,,\\
\mathcal{B}^{I,II}_{n} &: \; 2(F_{56}-\tilde{F}_{56}\pm\sqrt{(\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3})^2+\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxplus}\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxminus}}\,)<n<1\,,\\
\mathcal{A}^{III,IV}_{n} &: \; -1<n<2(F_{56}-\tilde{F}_{56}\pm\sqrt{(\tilde{F}^{\oplus 3})^2+\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxplus}\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxminus}}\,)\,,\\
\mathcal{B}^{III,IV}_{n} &: \; 2(F_{56}+\tilde{F}_{56}\pm\sqrt{(\tilde{F}^{\oplus 3})^2+\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxplus}\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxminus}}\,)<n<1\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

One immediately sees that for $F_{56}=0=\tilde{F}_{56}$ there is no solution for the zweibein from Eq. (2). Let us first assume that $\tilde{F}^{\oplus i}=0\,;\,i\in\{1,2,3\}$. Eq. (24) tells us that the strengths $F_{56},\tilde{F}_{56}$ of the spin connection fields ($\omega_{56\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{56\sigma}$) can make a choice between the massless solutions $(\mathcal{A}^{I,II}_{n},$

$\mathcal{A}^{III,IV}_n$) and ($\mathcal{B}^{I,II}_n, \mathcal{B}^{III,IV}_n$):

For

$$0 < 2(F_{56} + \tilde{F}_{56}) \leq 1, \quad \tilde{F}_{56} < F_{56} \tag{25}$$

there exist four massless left handed solutions with respect to $(3+1)$. For

$$0 < 2(F_{56} + \tilde{F}_{56}) \leq 1, \quad \tilde{F}_{56} = F_{56} \tag{26}$$

the only massless solution are the two left handed spinors with respect to $(3+1)$

$$\psi^{(6\ I,II)m=0}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{N}_0\ f^{-F_{56}-\tilde{F}_{56}+1/2}\ \overset{56}{(+)}\ \psi^{(4\ I,II)}_{(+)}. \tag{27}$$

The solutions (Eq.27) are the eigen functions of M^{56} with the eigen value $1/2$. Since no right handed massless solutions are allowed, the left handed ones are mass protected. For the particular choice $2(F_{56}+\tilde{F}_{56}) = 1$ the spin connection fields $-S^{56}\omega_{56\sigma} - \tilde{S}^{56}\tilde{\omega}_{56\sigma}$ compensate the term $\frac{1}{2Ef}\{p_\sigma, Ef\}_-$ and the left handed spinor with respect to $d = (1+3)$ becomes a constant with respect to ρ and ϕ. To make one of these two states massive, one can try to include terms like $\tilde{F}^{\oplus i}$.

Let us keep $\tilde{F}^{\oplus i} = 0$ $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ and $F_{56} = \tilde{F}_{56}$, while we take $\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3}, \tilde{F}^{\ominus \boxplus}$ non zero. Now it is still true that due to the conditions in Eq. (24) there are no massless solutions determined by $\mathcal{A}^{III,IV}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{III,IV}$. There is now only one massless and mass protected family for $F_{56} = \tilde{F}_{56}$. In this case the solutions $\mathcal{A}^{I-}_0$ and $\mathcal{A}^{II-}_0$ are related

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^{I-}_0 &= \mathcal{N}^-_0\ f^{\frac{1}{2}[1-2F_{56}-2\tilde{F}_{56}-2\sqrt{(\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3})^2+\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxplus}\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxminus}}]}, \\ \mathcal{A}^{II-}_0 &= -\frac{(\sqrt{(\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3})^2 + \tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxplus}\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxminus}} + \tilde{F}^{\ominus 3})}{\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxplus}}\,\mathcal{A}^{I-}_0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

There exists, however, one additional massless state, with $\mathcal{A}^{I+}_0$ related to $\mathcal{A}^{II+}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}^{I+}_0$ related to $\mathcal{B}^{II+}_0$, which fulfil Eq. (24). But since we have left and right handed massless solution present, it is not mass protected any longer.

One can make a choice as well that none of solutions would be massless.

According to Eq. (38) from sect. III the equation of motion presented in Eqs. (5, 3) are covariant with respect to the discrete symmetry operator $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ (Eq. (35)), what means that the antiparticle feels the transformed gauge fields and carry the opposite charge with respect to the starting particle.

Let us conclude this section by recognizing that for $\tilde{F}^{\oplus\boxplus} = 0$ and $\tilde{F}^{\ominus\boxplus} = 0$ all the families decouple. There is then the choice of the parameters (F_{56}, $\tilde{F}_{56}$, $\tilde{F}^{\oplus 3}$, $\tilde{F}^{\ominus 3}$) which determine how many massless and mass protected families exist, if any.

A. Solutions after the scalar gauge fields gain nonzero vacuum expectation values

Let us now assume that the spin connection fields gain nonzero vacuum expectation values

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{\pm}^{(56)} &: = < \omega_{56\pm} > , \quad \tilde{m}_{\pm}^{(56)} :=< \tilde{\omega}_{56\pm} > , \\
\tilde{m}_{\pm}^{(\tilde{N}_R i)} &: = (< \tilde{\omega}_{23\pm} - i\tilde{\omega}_{01\pm} >, < \tilde{\omega}_{31\pm} - i\tilde{\omega}_{02\pm} >, < \tilde{\omega}_{12\pm} - i\tilde{\omega}_{03\pm} >) , \\
\tilde{m}_{\pm}^{(\tilde{N}_L i)} &: = (< \tilde{\omega}_{23\pm} + i\tilde{\omega}_{01\pm} >, < \tilde{\omega}_{31\pm} + i\tilde{\omega}_{02\pm} >, < \tilde{\omega}_{12\pm} + i\tilde{\omega}_{03\pm} >) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{29}
$$

breaking the charge S^{56} symmetry, as well as all the "tilde charges" ($\tilde{S}^{56}$, $\vec{\tilde{N}}^{(R,L)}$).

Then the equation of motion (10) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{\gamma^0\gamma^m p_{0m} + \gamma^0 \sum_{+,-}^{56} (\pm)\ p_{0\pm}\}\,\psi = 0\,, \\
&p_{0m} = p_m - S^{56}\,\omega_{56m}\,, \\
&p_{0\pm} = p_\pm - S^{56}\,m_{\pm}^{(56)} - \tilde{S}^{56}\,\tilde{m}_{\pm}^{(56)} - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \tilde{N}_R^i\,\tilde{m}_{\pm}^{(\tilde{N}_R i)} - \sum_{i}^{3} \tilde{N}_L^i\,\tilde{m}_{\pm}^{(\tilde{N}_R i)}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}
$$

One finds that requiring the hermiticity of the equations of motion (Eq. (30)) leads to the relations

$$
-\,m_{+}^{(56)} \;=\; m_{-}^{(56)}\,, \quad \tilde{m}_{+}^{(56)} = \tilde{m}_{-}^{(56)}\,, \qquad \tilde{m}_{+}^{(\tilde{N}_R i)} = \tilde{m}_{-}^{(\tilde{N}_R i)}\,, \quad \tilde{m}_{+}^{(\tilde{N}_L i)} = \tilde{m}_{-}^{(\tilde{N}_L i)}\,. \tag{31}
$$

We also must require, to be consistent with the definition and the Eqs. (35, 36, 37, 38) and Eq. (30), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\,m_{\pm}^{(56)}\,(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &= -m_{\mp}^{(56)}\,, \\
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\,\tilde{m}_{\pm}^{(56)}\,(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &= \tilde{m}_{\mp}^{(56)}\,, \\
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\,\tilde{m}_{\pm}^{(\tilde{N}_R i)}\,(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &= \tilde{m}_{\mp}^{(\tilde{N}_R i)}\,, \\
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\,\tilde{m}_{\pm}^{(\tilde{N}_L i)}\,(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &= \tilde{m}_{\mp}^{(\tilde{N}_L i)}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}
$$

Eq. (30) has then the solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{1,2}^{\tilde{N}_L} &= \frac{1}{2}\,(m_{-}^{(56)} - \tilde{m}_{-}^{(56)}) \pm \sqrt{\sum_i (\tilde{m}_{-}^{(\tilde{N}_L i)})^2}\,, \\
m_{1,2}^{\tilde{N}_R} &= \frac{1}{2}\,(m_{-}^{(56)} + \tilde{m}_{-}^{(56)}) \pm \sqrt{\sum_i (\tilde{m}_{-}^{(\tilde{N}_R i)})^2}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{33}
$$

with the spinor states with no conserved charge S^{56} any longer

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi^{(6)\tilde{N}_L}_{m(1,2)} &= N^{\tilde{N}_L}\, \{(\tilde{m}^{(\tilde{N}_L 3)}_{-} \pm \sqrt{\sum_i (\tilde{m}^{(\tilde{N}_L i)}_{-})^2}\,)\,(\,{}^{03}_{+i}{}^{12}_{(+)}{}^{56}_{[+]} - {}^{03}_{-i}{}^{12}_{(+)}{}^{56}_{(-)})\\
&+ (\tilde{m}^{(\tilde{N}_L 1)}_{-} + i\tilde{m}^{(\tilde{N}_L 2)}_{-})\,(\,{}^{03}_{(+i)}{}^{12}_{[+]}{}^{56}_{[+]} - {}^{03}_{[-i]}{}^{12}_{[+]}{}^{56}_{(-)})\}e^{-imx^0}\,,\\
\psi^{(6)\tilde{N}_R}_{m(1,2)} &= N^{\tilde{N}_R}\, \{(\tilde{m}^{(\tilde{N}_R 3)}_{-} \mp \sqrt{\sum_i (\tilde{m}^{(\tilde{N}_R i)}_{-})^2}\,)\,(\,{}^{03}_{[+i]}{}^{12}_{[+]}{}^{56}_{(+)} - {}^{03}_{(-i)}{}^{12}_{[+]}{}^{56}_{[-]})\\
&+ (\tilde{m}^{(\tilde{N}_R 1)}_{-} - i\tilde{m}^{(\tilde{N}_R 2)}_{-})\,(\,{}^{03}_{(+i)}{}^{12}_{(+)}{}^{56}_{(+)} - {}^{03}_{[-i]}{}^{12}_{(+)}{}^{56}_{[-]})\}e^{-imx^0}\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{34}
$$

while handedness in the "tilde" sector is conserved.

III. DISCRETE SYMMETRIES OF SPINORS AND GAUGE FIELDS OF THE TOY MODEL

In the subsection of this section III A the discrete symmetry operators for particles and antiparticles in the second quantized picture are presented, as well as for the gauge fields. This definition for the discrete symmetry operators, as they manifest from the point of view of $d = (3+1)$, is designed for all the Kaluza-Klein like theories. At least this way of looking for the appropriate discrete symmetry operators from the point of view of $d = (3+1)$ can be helpful in all the Kaluza-Klein cases to find the appropriate discrete symmetry operators in the observable dimensions.

One sees that the operators of discrete symmetries, presented in Eqs. (39, 41, 42), do not depend on the family quantum numbers $\tilde{\gamma}^a$, which means that every particle, described as a member of one family, transforms under the product of the two discrete symmetry operators $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$, presented in Eq. (39) and Eq. (42), into the corresponding antiparticle state, which belongs to the same family (carrying the same family quantum numbers).

The discrete symmetry operator $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ (Eqs. (39, 42)) is in our case with $d = (5+1)$ equal to

$$
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} \;=\; \gamma^0\,\gamma^5\, I_{\vec{x}_3}\, I_{x_6}\,. \tag{35}
$$

It has an even number of γ^a's, which guarantees that the operation does not cause the transformation into another Weyl representation in $d = (5+1)$, which means that we stay within the Weyl representation from which we started.

Let us check what does this discrete symmetry operator $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ do when being applied on several operators.

One easily finds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\,(\gamma^0,\gamma^1,\gamma^2,\gamma^3,\gamma^5,\gamma^6)\,(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &= (-\gamma^0,\gamma^1,\gamma^2,\gamma^3,-\gamma^5,\gamma^6)\,,\\
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\,(p^0,p^1,p^2,p^3,p^5,p^6)\,(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &= (p^0,-p^1,-p^2,-p^3,p^5,-p^6)\,,\\
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\;\overset{56}{(\pm)}\,(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &= \overset{56}{(\mp)}\,,\\
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\,\tilde{\gamma^a}\,(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &= \tilde{\gamma}^a\,,\ \text{for each } a\,,\\
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\,(\omega_{565}(x^0,\vec{x}_3,x^5,x^6),\omega_{566}(x^0,\vec{x}_3,x^5,x^6))\,(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &=\\
(-\omega_{565}(x^0,-\vec{x}_3,x^5,-x^6),\omega_{566}(x^0,-\vec{x}_3,x^5,-x^6))\,,&\\
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\,(\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{5}\tilde{6}5}(x^0,\vec{x}_3,x^5,x^6),\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{5}\tilde{6}6}(x^0,\vec{x}_3,x^5,x^6))\,(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &=\\
(\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{5}\tilde{6}5}(x^0,-\vec{x}_3,x^5,-x^6),-\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{5}\tilde{6}6}(x^0,-\vec{x}_3,x^5,-x^6))\,,&
\end{aligned}
\tag{36}
$$

where we write $\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{5}\tilde{6}s}$, $s=(5,6)$ to point out that the first two indices belong to the $\widetilde{SO}(5,1)$ group. We also use the notation $\overset{56}{(\pm)}=\frac{1}{2}\,(\gamma^5\pm i\gamma^6)$.

One correspondingly finds, taking into account Eqs. (7, 9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\;S^{56}\;(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &= -S^{56}\,,\\
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\;\tilde{S}^{56}\;(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &= \tilde{S}^{56}\,,\\
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\;\omega_{56m}(x^0,\vec{x}_3)\;(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &= -\omega_{56m}(x^0,-\vec{x}_3)\,,\\
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\;(\vec{\tilde{A}}^{\oplus}_5(x^5,x^6),\vec{\tilde{A}}^{\oplus}_6(x^5,x^6))\;(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &= (\vec{\tilde{A}}^{\oplus}_5(x^5,-x^6),-\vec{\tilde{A}}^{\oplus}_6(x^5,-x^6))\,,\\
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\;\vec{\tilde{A}}^{\oplus}_{\pm}(x^5,x^6)\;(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &= \vec{\tilde{A}}^{\oplus}_{\mp}(x^5,-x^6)\,,\\
\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\;A^{56}_{\pm}(x^5,x^6)\;(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1} &= -A^{56}_{\mp}(x^5,-x^6)\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{37}
$$

with $A^{56}_{\pm}=(\omega_{565}\mp i\omega_{566})$.

From Eqs. (36, 37) it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\;\{\gamma^0\gamma^m\,(p_m-S^{56}\,\omega_{56m}(x^0,\vec{x}_3))\}\;(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1}\\
&=\{(-\gamma^0)(-\gamma_m)\;(p^m-(-S^{56})\,(-\omega_{56}{}^m)(x^0,-\vec{x}_3))\}=\{\gamma^0\gamma^m\,(p_m-S^{56}\,\omega_{56m}(x^0,-\vec{x}_3))\}\\
&\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\;\{\gamma^0\;\overset{56}{(\pm)}\;(p_{\pm}-S^{56}\,\omega_{56\pm}(x^5,x^6)-\frac{1}{2}\,\tilde{S}^{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}}\,\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\pm}(x^5,x^6))\}\;(\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}})^{-1}\\
&=\{\gamma^0\;\overset{56}{(\mp)}\;(p_{\mp}-S^{56}\,\omega_{56\mp}(x^5,-x^6)-\frac{1}{2}\,\tilde{S}^{ab}\,\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\mp}(x^5,-x^6))\}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{38}
$$

Taking into account Eq. (4) and the equations of (38), we see that the equations of motion are covariant with respect to a particle and its antiparticle: A particle and its antiparticle carry the same mass, while the antiparticle carries the opposite charge S^{56} than the particle and moves in the transformed $U(1)$ field $-\omega_{56}{}^m(x^0,-\vec{x}^3)$ [15].

The equations of motion for our toy model (Eqs. (10,4), and correspondingly the solutions (Eq. (16)) manifest the discrete symmetries $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$, with the operators presented in Eqs. (39, 42). Both, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\Psi^{(6)}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\Psi^{(6)}$ (42) solve the equations of motion, provided that $\omega_{56m}(x^0,\vec{x}_3)$ is a real field. The field $\omega_{56m}(x^0,\vec{x}_3)$ transforms under $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ to $-\omega_{56}{}^{m}(x^0,-\vec{x}_3)$, like the $U(1)$ field must [15].

The starting action (5) and the corresponding Weyl equation (10) manifest discrete symmetries $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\cdot\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$ from Eqs. (39,42). Correspondingly all the states with the conserved charges M^{56} respect this symmetry, transforming particle states into the antiparticle states.

A. Discrete symmetry operators

To discuss properties of the representations of particle and antiparticle states and of the gauge fields with which spinors interact let us first define the discrete symmetry operators as seen from the point of view of $d=(3+1)$ in the second quantized picture as proposed in the ref. [9], where the definition of the discrete symmetries operators for the Kaluza-Klein kind of theories, for the first and the second quantized picture was defined, so that the total angular moments in higher dimensions manifest as charges in $d=(3+1)$. The ref. [9] uses the Dirac sea second quantized picture to make presentation transparent.

The ref. [9] proposes the following discrete symmetry operators

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}} &= \prod_{\Im\gamma^m,m=0}^{3} \gamma^m\ \Gamma^{(3+1)}\, K\, I_{x^6,x^8,\ldots,x^d}\,,\\
\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}} &= \prod_{\Re\gamma^m,m=1}^{3} \gamma^m\ \Gamma^{(3+1)}\, K\, I_{x^0}\, I_{x^5,x^7,\ldots,x^{d-1}}\,,\\
\mathcal{P}^{(d-1)}_{\mathcal{N}} &= \gamma^0\,\Gamma^{(3+1)}\,\Gamma^{(d)}\, I_{\vec{x}_3}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The operator of handedness in even d dimensional spaces is defined as

$$\Gamma^{(d)} := (i)^{d/2}\ \prod_a\ (\sqrt{\eta^{aa}}\,\gamma^a)\,, \tag{40}$$

with products of γ^a in ascending order. We choose γ^0, γ^1 real, γ^2 imaginary, γ^3 real, γ^5 imaginary, γ^6 real, alternating imaginary and real up to γ^d real. Operators I operate as follows: $I_{x^0}x^0 = -x^0$; $I_x x^a = -x^a$; $I_{x^0}x^a = (-x^0,\vec{x})$; $I_{\vec{x}}\vec{x} = -\vec{x}$; $I_{\vec{x}_3}x^a = (x^0,-x^1,-x^2,-x^3,x^5,x^6,\ldots,x^d)$; $I_{x^5,x^7,\ldots,x^{d-1}}\ (x^0,x^1,x^2,x^3,x^5,x^6,x^7,x^8,\ldots,x^{d-1},x^d) = (x^0,x^1,x^2,x^3,-x^5,x^6,-x^7,\ldots,-x^{d-1},x^d)$; $I_{x^6,x^8,\ldots,x^d}\ (x^0,x^1,x^2,x^3,x^5,x^6,x^7,x^8,\ldots,x^{d-1},x^d) = (x^0,x^1,x^2,x^3,x^5,-x^6,x^7,-x^8,\ldots,x^{d-1},-x^d)$, $d=2n$.

$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}$ transforms the state, put on the top of the Dirac sea, into the corresponding negative energy state in the Dirac sea.

We need the operator, we name [9–11] it $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}$, which transforms the starting single particle state on the top of the Dirac sea into the negative energy state and then empties this negative energy state. This hole in the Dirac sea is the antiparticle state put on the top of the Dirac sea. Both, a particle and its antiparticle state (both put on the top of the Dirac sea), must solve the Weyl equations of motion.

This $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is defined as a product of the operator [10, 11] "*emptying*", (which is really an useful operator, although it is somewhat difficult to imagine it, since it is making transformations into a completely different Fock space)

$$\text{"}emptying\text{"} \;=\; \prod_{\Re\gamma^a} \gamma^a\, K = (-)^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \prod_{\Im\gamma^a} \gamma^a\, \Gamma^{(d)} K\,, \tag{41}$$

and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}} \;&=\; \prod_{\Re\gamma^a, a=0}^{d} \gamma^a \;\; K \prod_{\Im\gamma^m, m=0}^{3} \gamma^m \;\; \Gamma^{(3+1)}\, K\, I_{x^6,x^8,\ldots,x^d} \\ &=\; \prod_{\Re\gamma^s, s=5}^{d} \gamma^s \; I_{x^6,x^8,\ldots,x^d}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Let us present also the second quantized notation, following the notation in the ref. [9]. Let $\underline{\mathbf{\Psi}}^{\dagger}_p[\Psi_p]$ be the creation operator creating a fermion in the state Ψ_p and let $\mathbf{\Psi}^{\dagger}_p(\vec{x})$ be the second quantized field creating a fermion at position $\vec{x}$. Then

$$\{\underline{\mathbf{\Psi}}^{\dagger}_p[\Psi_p] \;=\; \int \mathbf{\Psi}^{\dagger}_p(\vec{x})\, \Psi_p(\vec{x}) d^{(d-1)}x \,\}\, |vac>$$

so that the antiparticle state becomes

$$\{\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}\, \underline{\mathbf{\Psi}}^{\dagger}_p[\Psi_p] \;=\; \int \mathbf{\Psi}_p(\vec{x})\, (\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\, \Psi_p(\vec{x})) d^{(d-1)}x\}\, |vac> \;.$$

The antiparticle operator $\underline{\mathbf{\Psi}}^{\dagger}_a[\Psi_p]$, to the corresponding particle creation operator, can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathbf{\Psi}}^{\dagger}_a[\Psi_p]\, |vac> \;&=\; \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathcal{N}}\, \underline{\mathbf{\Psi}}^{\dagger}_p[\Psi_p]\, |vac> = \int \mathbf{\Psi}^{\dagger}_a(\vec{x})\, (\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}\, \Psi_p(\vec{x}))\, d^{(d-1)}x \;\, |vac>\,, \\ \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{H}} \;&=\; \text{"}emptying\text{"} \;\cdot\; \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

While the discrete symmetry operator $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}}$ has an odd number of γ^a operators and correspondingly transforms one Weyl representation in $d = (5+1)$ into another Weyl representation in $d = (5+1)$, changing the handedness of the representation, stays the operator $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ within the same Weyl. The same is true for $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$ and also for the product $\mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{N}} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}} \cdot \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

We make in this contribution a small step further with respect to the refs. [3, 10] in understanding the existence of massless and mass protected spinors as well as the massive states in non compact spaces in the presence of families of spinors after breaking symmetries. We take a toy model in $\mathcal{M}^{5+1}$, which breaks into $\mathcal{M}^{3+1}\times$ an infinite disc curled into an almost S^2 under the influence of the zweibein. Following the *spin-charge-family* theory we have in this toy model four families. We study properties of families when allowing that besides the spin connection field, which are the gauge field of $S^{st} = \frac{i}{4}(\gamma^s\gamma^t - \gamma^t\gamma^s)$, also the gauge fields of $\tilde{S}^{st} = \frac{i}{4}(\tilde{\gamma}^s\tilde{\gamma}^t - \tilde{\gamma}^t\tilde{\gamma}^s)$, determining families, affect the behaviour of spinors.

We simplify our study by assuming the same radial dependence of all the spin connection fields (Eq. (4)), while the strengths of the fields (F_{56}, $\tilde{F}_{56}$, $\tilde{F}_{mn}$) are allowed to vary within some intervals.

We found that the choices of the parameters allow within some intervals of parameters (F_{56}, $\tilde{F}_{56}$, $\tilde{F}_{mn}$) four, two or none massless and mass protected spinors.

We allowed the nonzero vacuum expectation values of all the spin connection fields, $f^{\sigma}{}_{s'}\ \omega_{56\sigma}$, $f^{\sigma}{}_{s'}\ \tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{5}\tilde{6}\sigma}$ and $f^{\sigma}{}_{s'}\ \tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{m}\tilde{n}\sigma}$, where $\sigma = ((5),(6))$, $s = (5,6)$, $\tilde{m} = (\tilde{0},\tilde{1},\tilde{2},\tilde{3})$. All indices $\tilde{a}$ belong to the $\widetilde{SO}(5,1)$ group, while indices a belong to the $SO(5,1)$ group. The nonzero vacuum expectation values of all the gauge fields causes that the $U(1)$ charge (S^{56}) breaks, as well as also all the family quantum numbers, while the handedness in the "tilde" degrees of freedom keep two groups of families non coupled.

We studied also the discrete symmetries of equations of motion and of solutions, for massless and massive states.

We found: **a.** Almost S^2 or any other shape with the symmetry around the axis, perpendicular to the infinite disc, has the rotational symmetry around this axis. But almost S^2 has not the rotational symmetry around the axis which goes through the centre of almost sphere because of the singular point on the southern pole unless we make the translation of the axis. Equivalently the almost torus - infinite disc curled into an almost torus - has no symmetry. **b.** Even number of families stay massless and mass protected for the intervals of parameters. **c.** Non zero vacuum expectation values of the scalar gauge fields break all the charges, while the two handedness in the "tilde" sector keeps the two groups of families separated. **d.** Let us add that while the weak charge and the hyper charge have fractional values in the *spin-cahreg-family* theory in $d = (13+1)$,

have the scalar fields in this case of $d = (5 + 1)$ integer valued charges.

---

[1] D. Lukman, N. S. Mankoč Borštnik, H. B. Nielsen, "An effective two dimensionality" cases bring a new hope to the Kaluza-Klein-like theories", http://arxiv.org/abs/1001.4679v5, *New J. Phys.* **13** (2011) 103027. arXiv:1001.4679v4.

[2] D. Lukman, N. S. Mankoč Borštnik, "Spinor states on a curved infinite disc with non-zero spin-connection fields", http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.1714, *J. of Phys.A: Math. Theor.* **45** (2012) 465401 (19pp), doi:10.1088/1751-8113/45/46/465401.

[3] D. Lukman, N. S. Mankoč Borštnik, H. B. Nielsen, "Families of Spinors in $d = (1 + 5)$ with Zweibein and Two Kinds of Spin Connection Fields on an almost S^2", Proceedings to the 15^{th} international workshop "What Comes Beyond the Standard Models", July 9-19, 2012, Ed. N.S. Mankoč Borštnik, H.B. Nielsen, D. Lukman, DMFA Založništvo, Ljubljana December 2012, p. 163, [arXiv:1012.0224, arXiv:1212.2370].

[4] N. S. Mankoč Borštnik, Phys. Lett. **B 292** (1992) 25, J. Math. Phys. **34** (1993) 3731, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **40** 315 (2001), Modern Phys. Lett. **A 10** (1995) 587, A. Borštnik, N. S. Mankoč Borštnik, hep-ph/0401043, hep-ph/0401055, hep-ph/0301029, Phys. Rev. **D 74** (2006)073013, hep-ph/0512062, G. Bregar, M. Breskvar, D. Lukman, N.S. Mankoč Borštnik, hep-ph/0711.4681.

[5] N.S. Mankoč Borštnik, *J. of Modern Phys.* **4**, 823 (2013) [arXiv:1312.1542], New J. of Phys. **10** (2008) 093002, hep-ph/0606159, hep/ph-07082846, hep-ph/0612250, p.25-50.

[6] N.S. Mankoč Borštnik,"The spin-charge-family theory explains why the scalar Higgs carries the weak charge $\mp\frac{1}{2}$ and the hyper charge $\pm\frac{1}{2}$", in this Proceedings, [http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.4981].

[7] N.S. Mankoč Borštnik, "Can spin-charge-family theory explain baryon number non conservation?", in this Proceedings [arXiv:1409.7791].

[8] G. Bregar, N.S. Mankoč Borštnik, "The new experimental data for the quarks mixing matrix are in better agreement with the *spin-charge-family* theory predictions", in this Proceedings [arxiv:]

[9] N.S. Mankoč Borštnik, H.B. Nielsen, "Discrete symmetries in the Kaluza-Klein-like theories", JHEP 04 (2014) 165 http://arxiv.org/abs/1212.2362v2.

[10] T. Troha, D. Lukman, N.S. Mankoč Borštnik, *Int. J. of Mod. Phys.* **A 29** 1450124 (2014), arXiv:1312.1541.

[11] N.S. Mankoč Borštnik, Spin-charge-family theory is explaining appearance of families of quarks and leptons, of Higgs and Yukawa couplings, in *Proceedings to the 16th Workshop "What comes beyond the standard models", Bled, 14-21 of July, 2013*, eds. N.S. Mankoč Borštnik, H.B. Nielsen and D. Lukman (DMFA Založništvo, Ljubljana, December 2013) p.113 [arXiv:1312.1542].

[12] N. S. Mankoč Borštnik, H. B. Nielsen, *J. of Math. Phys.* **43** (2002) 5782, [hep-th/0111257], *J. of Math. Phys.* **44** (2003) 4817, [hep-th/0303224].

[13] T. Kaluza, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, Math. Phys. **96** (1921) 69, O. Klein, Z.Phys. **37** (1926) 895.

[14] N. S. Mankoč Borštnik, H. B. Nielsen, Phys. Lett. **B 633** (2006) 771-775, hep-th/0311037, hep-th/0509101, Phys. Lett. **B 644** (2007)198-202, hep-th/0608006, Phys. Lett. **B 10** (2008)1016, N. S. Mankoč Borštnik, H. B. Nielsen, D. Lukman, hep-ph/0412208.

[15] C. Itzykson and J. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory* (McGraw-Hill 1980).

[16] $f^{\alpha}{}_{a}$ are inverted vielbeins to $e^{a}{}_{\alpha}$ with the properties $e^{a}{}_{\alpha} f^{\alpha}{}_{b} = \delta^{a}{}_{b}$, $e^{a}{}_{\alpha} f^{\beta}{}_{a} = \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}$. Latin indices $a, b, .., m, n, .., s, t, ..$ denote a tangent space (a flat index), while Greek indices $\alpha, \beta, .., \mu, \nu, ..\sigma, \tau..$ denote an Einstein index (a curved index). Letters from the beginning of both the alphabets indicate a general index ($a, b, c, ..$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, ..$), from the middle of both the alphabets the observed dimensions $0, 1, 2, 3$ ($m, n, ..$ and $\mu, \nu, ..$), indices from the bottom of the alphabets indicate the compactified dimensions ($s, t, ..$ and $\sigma, \tau, ..$). We assume the signature $\eta^{ab} = diag\{1, -1, -1, \ldots, -1\}$.